



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/719,539   | 11/21/2003  | Xiaofeng Lin         | SRC-P0407               | 3441                   |
| 24337  | 7590        | 08/28/2007           |                         |                        |
| MILLER PATENT SERVICES<br>2500 DOCKERY LANE<br>RALEIGH, NC 27606 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>MAI, TAN V  |                        |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>2193        | PAPER NUMBER           |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>08/28/2007 | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

01

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/719,539             | LIN ET AL.          |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Tan V. Mai             | 2193                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tan V. Mai. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jerry Miller (Applicants' rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 27 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 30, 34 and 39.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



TAN V. MAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: independent claims 30 & 34 recite means plus functions. The specification, e.g., page 19, discloses "means for performing functions included... software implementations, or by hardware and software in combination". Therefore, claims 30 & 34 are 101. Independent claim 39 recites several circuits / subtracter without a practical application, i.e, the final output is merely "difference signal". It is noted that independent claims 14 and 19 provide "an output error signal". No agreement was reach.



TAN V. MAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER